

Stat405

First class functions

Hadley Wickham

1. Motivation
2. First class functions
3. Closures
4. Higher-order functions
5. Lists of functions

Homeworks

Forgot to assign one last week – so no more homeworks!

Please spend the time on making your final projects awesome.

Motivation

DRY principle: Don't Repeat Yourself

**Every piece of knowledge must have a
single, unambiguous, authoritative
representation within a system**

Popularised by the “Pragmatic Programmers”

```
# Fix missing values  
df$a[df$a == -99] <- NA  
df$b[df$b == -99] <- NA  
df$c[df$c == -99] <- NA  
df$d[df$d == -99] <- NA  
df$e[df$e == -99] <- NA  
df$f[df$f == -99] <- NA  
df$g[df$g == -98] <- NA  
df$h[df$h == -99] <- NA  
df$i[df$i == -99] <- NA  
df$j[df$j == -99] <- NA  
df$k[df$k == -99] <- NA
```

```
# Fix missing values  
df$a[df$a == -99] <- NA  
df$b[df$b == -99] <- NA  
df$c[df$c == -99] <- NA  
df$d[df$d == -99] <- NA  
df$e[df$e == -99] <- NA  
df$f[df$f == -99] <- NA  
df$g[df$g == -98] <- NA  
df$h[df$h == -99] <- NA  
df$i[df$i == -99] <- NA  
dfi[df$j == -99] <- NA  
df$k[df$k == -99] <- NA
```

DRY principle
prevents
inconsistency

```
fix_missing <- function(x) {  
  x[x == -99] <- NA  
  x  
}  
  
df$a <- fix_missing(df$a)  
df$b <- fix_missing(df$b)  
df$c <- fix_missing(df$c)  
df$d <- fix_missing(df$d)  
df$e <- fix_missing(df$e)  
df$f <- fix_missing(df$f)  
df$g <- fix_missing(df$g)  
df$h <- fix_missing(df$h)  
df$h <- fix_missing(df$i)  
df$j <- fix_missing(df$j)  
df$k <- fix_missing(df$k)
```

DRY principle
prevents
inconsistency

```
fix_missing <- function(x) {  
  x[x == -99] <- NA  
  x  
}  
  
df$a <- fix_missing(df$a)  
df$b <- fix_missing(df$b)  
df$c <- fix_missing(df$c)  
df$d <- fix_missing(df$d)  
df$e <- fix_missing(df$e)  
df$f <- fix_missing(df$f)  
df$g <- fix_missing(df$g)  
df$h <- fix_missing(df$h)  
df$h <- fix_missing(df$i)  
df$j <- fix_missing(df$j)  
df$k <- fix_missing(df$k)
```

More powerful abstractions lead to less repetition

```
fix_missing <- function(x) {  
  x[x == -99] <- NA  
  x  
}  
  
df[] <- lapply(df, fix_missing)
```

And easier
generalisation

```
fix_missing <- function(x) {  
  x[x == -99] <- NA  
  x  
}  
  
numeric <- vapply(df, is.numeric, logical(1))  
df[numeric] <- lapply(df[numeric], fix_missing)
```

And easier
generalisation

```
fix_missing <- function(x) {  
  x[x == -99] <- NA  
  x  
}
```

```
numeric <- vapply(df, is.numeric, logical(1))  
df[numeric] <- lapply(df[numeric], fix_missing)
```

```
mean(df$a)  
median(df$a)  
sd(df$a)  
mad(df$a)  
IQR(df$a)
```

What are the two sources
of repetition in this code?
Discuss with your
neighbour for 1 minute.

```
mean(df$b)  
median(df$b)  
sd(df$b)  
mad(df$b)  
IQR(df$b)
```

```
mean(df$c)  
median(df$c)  
sd(df$c)  
mad(df$c)  
IQR(df$c)
```

```
summary <- function(x) {  
  c(mean(x), median(x), sd(x), mad(x), IQR(x))  
}
```

```
summary(df$a)  
summary(df$b)  
summary(df$c)
```

```
summary <- function(x) {  
  c(mean(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   median(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   sd(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   mad(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   IQR(x, na.rm = TRUE))  
}
```

```
summary(df$a)  
summary(df$b)  
summary(df$c)
```

In this session
we'll learn new
tools for dealing
with repetition of
functions

```
summary <- function(x) {  
  c(mean(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   median(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   sd(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   mad(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   IQR(x, na.rm = TRUE))  
}
```

```
summary(df$a)  
summary(df$b)  
summary(df$c)
```

First class functions

1. Functions don't need names
(anonymous functions)
2. Functions can be written by other
functions (closures)
3. Functions can take functions as
arguments (higher-order functions)
4. Functions can be stored in other data
structures

```
# Creating an anonymous function  
function(x) 3
```

```
# Calling an anonymous function  
(function(x) 3)()  
# Not:  
function(x) 3()
```

```
# Anonymous functions work just like ordinary  
# functions  
formals(function(x = 4) g(x) + h(x))  
body(function(x = 4) g(x) + h(x))  
environment(function(x = 4) g(x) + h(x))
```

```
# Useful for small, one-off tasks that don't  
# merit creating a named function  
  
lapply(mtcars, function(x) length(unique(x)))  
  
integrate(function(x) sin(x)^2, 0, pi)
```

closures

What do these
functions return?

```
x <- 5
f <- function() {
  y <- 10
  c(x = x, y = y)
}
f()
```

```
x <- 5
g <- function() {
  x <- 20
  y <- 10
  c(x = x, y = y)
}
g()
```

```
x <- 5
h <- function() {
  y <- 10
  i <- function() {
    z <- 20
    c(x = x, y = y, z = z)
  }
  i()
}
h()
```

```
j <- function() {  
  if (!exists("a")) {  
    a <- 5  
  } else {  
    a <- a + 1  
  }  
  print(a)  
}
```

What does this
function return the
first time you run it?
The second time?

```
x <- 0
y <- 10
f <- function() {
  x <- 1
  function() {
    y <- 2
    x + y
  }
}
```

```
# What does f() return?
# What does f()() mean? What does it do?
# How does it work?
```

```
f <- function() {  
  x <- sample(1000, 1)  
  function() {  
    y <- 2  
    x + y  
  }  
}
```

```
f1 <- f()  
f2 <- f()  
f1()  
f1()  
f2()
```

```
f <- function() {  
  function() {  
    y  
  }  
}
```

```
y <- 1  
f()()  
y <- 2  
f()()
```

Scoping

R uses lexical scoping: variable lookup is based on where functions were created.

If a variable isn't found in the current environment, R looks in the parent: the environment where the function was created.

Anonymous functions remember their parent environment, even if it has since “disappeared”.

```
# Closures are useful when you want a function  
# that can create a whole class of functions:
```

```
power <- function(exponent) {  
  function(x) x ^ exponent  
}
```

```
square <- power(2)  
square(2)  
square(4)
```

```
cube <- power(3)  
cube(2)  
cube(4)
```

square

```
# We can find the environment and its parent  
environment(square)  
parent.env(environment(square))
```

```
# Or inspect objects defined in that environment  
ls(environment(square))  
environment(square)$exponent  
as.list(environment(square))
```

Your turn

Run the code on the following page.
What does it do? How does it work? Why
do the different counters not interfere with
each other?

```
new_counter <- function() {  
  i <- 0  
  function() {  
    # do something useful, then ...  
    i <-> i + 1  
    i  
  }  
}
```

```
counter_one <- new_counter()  
counter_two <- new_counter()
```

```
counter_one()  
counter_one()  
counter_two()
```

Mutable state

Closures are one way of creating mutable state - the usual copy on modify semantics do not seem to apply here.

We'll learn another another technique after lunch.

You can often use closures like very simple objects: they encapsulate an operation and its parameters.

```
# Built in functions that make closures
```

```
Negate(is.numeric)("abc")
```

```
Negate
```

```
vrep <- Vectorize(rep.int, "times")
```

```
vrep(42, times = 1:4)
```

```
vrep
```

```
as.list(environment(vrep))
```

```
e <- ecdf(runif(1000))
```

```
str(e)
```

```
e(0.5)
```

```
class(e) # Functions can have classes too!
```

Higher- order functions

Better
vocab

HOFs

Closures are most useful in conjunction with functions that take functions as arguments.

You're probably already familiar with a few: `ddply`, ...

Two main camps: data structure manipulation and mathematical

```
# Data structure HOFs
# Provide basic tools for when you have a predicate
# function instead of a logical vector.

# Filter: keeps true
# Find: value of first true
# Position: location of first true

Filter(is.factor, iris)
Find(is.factor, iris)
Position(is.factor, iris)

# One function I use a lot:
compact <- function(x) Filter(Negate(is.null), x)
```

```
samples <- replicate(5, sample(10, 20, rep = T),  
  simplify = FALSE)
```

```
# Want to find intersection of all values  
int <- intersect(samples[[1]], samples[[2]])  
int <- intersect(int, samples[[3]])  
int <- intersect(int, samples[[4]])  
int <- intersect(int, samples[[5]])
```

```
# Reduce recursively applies a function in this way  
Reduce(intersect, samples)
```

```
# Mathematical HOFs

integrate(sin, 0, pi)
uniroot(sin, pi * c(1 / 2, 3 / 2))
optimise(sin, c(0, 2 * pi))
optimise(sin, c(0, pi), maximum = TRUE)
```

```
# Combination of closures and HOF particularly useful.  
# For statistics, maximum likelihood estimation is a  
# great example.
```

```
poisson_nll <- function(x) {  
  n <- length(x)  
  sum_x <- sum(x)  
  function(lambda) {  
    n * lambda - sum_x * log(lambda) # + ...  
  }  
}  
  
nll1 <- poisson_nll(c(41, 30, 31, 38, 29, 24, 30, 29))  
nll2 <- poisson_nll(c(6, 4, 7, 3, 3, 7, 5, 2, 2, 7))  
  
optimise(nll1, c(0, 100))  
optimise(nll2, c(0, 100))
```

Lists of functions

```
compute_mean <- list(
  base = function(x) mean(x),
  sum = function(x) sum(x) / length(x),
  manual = function(x) {
    total <- 0
    n <- length(x)
    for (i in seq_along(x)) {
      total <- total + x[i] / n
    }
    total
  }
)

call_fun <- function(f, ...) f(...)
x <- runif(1e6)
lapply(compute_mean, call_fun, x)
lapply(compute_mean, function(f) system.time(f(x)))
```

```
timer <- function(f) {  
  function(...) {  
    system.time(f(...))  
  }  
}  
  
lapply(compute_mean, timer(call_fun), x)
```

```
summary <- function(x) {  
  c(mean(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   median(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   sd(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   mad(x, na.rm = TRUE),  
   IQR(x, na.rm = TRUE))  
}
```

```
summary(df$a)  
summary(df$b)  
summary(df$c)
```

Your turn

Modify the summary function to take a user specified list of functions.

Would it be useful to use a closure here?

Why/why not?

```
x <- runif(100)

f <- function(x, f) {
  vapply(f, call_fun, x, FUN.VALUE = numeric(1))
}
f(x, c(mean, min, max))

make_summary <- function(f) {
  function(x) {
    vapply(f, call_fun, x, FUN.VALUE = numeric(1))
  }
}
summary2 <- make_summary(c(mean, min, max))
summary2(x)
```

```
summary2 <- function(x, fs, ...) {
  vapply(fs, function(f) f(x, ...), numeric(1))
}

make_summary <- function(fs) {
  function(x, ...) {
    vapply(fs, function(f) f(x, ...), numeric(1))
  }
}
```

