See code rubric					
Code	25	20	15	10	5
	All tables carefully constructed to make it easy to perform important comparisons. Careful styling highlights important features.	Tables generally well constructed, but some have minor flaws: too many d.p, tables too large.	Most tables appropriate. Many tables have minor problems.	Tables badly arranged to support comparisons of interest. Too many, or inconsistent, decimal places.	Tables do no support questions and findings. Major display problems.
Tables	graph illustrates one point. 5	when printed. 4	3	2	1
	Graphs carefully tuned for desired purpose. Evidence that many graphs were created before choosing one for presentation. Each		Most graphs appropriate. Many graphs have minor problems.	Graphs poorly chosen to support questions. Some redundant or fundamentally flawed.	Graphs do not support questions and findings. Major presentation problems.
Graphs	5	4	3	2	1
Presentation Text	5 English is polished, concise and clear. No grammar or spelling mistakes.	4 Clear and concise, but not elegant. A few spelling and grammatical errors.	3 Readable, but excessively verbose, or lacking in detail. A number of errors in text.	2 Marginally readable. Many errors.	1 Barely readable. Many spelling and grammar errors. No evidence of proof reading.
	results and findings. Includes interesting further questions and ideas for future research.	doesn't pull pieces together into cohesive whole. Interesting ideas for future research	some attempt at synthesis and development of ideas for future work.	synthesis. No proposals for future work.	or ask more questions.
Conclusion	10 Conclusions follows logically from	Good summary, but	Summary patchy, but	Repeats findings with no	2 Fails to summarise finding
Organisation	20	16 8	12 6	<u>8</u>	4
Scepticism	20	16	12	8	4
Curiosity	ndings (see homework rubric) 20	16	12	8	4
	up a clear roadmap for the rest of the paper.	paper lacking.	important details.	data or questions.	
	Clearly and concisely describes the data, and why it is of interest. Sets	Good introduction to data, but roadmap for rest of	Introduction and roadmap unclear and missing	Rote description of data. No context provided for	Fails to introduce data and questions of interest.
Introduction	10	8	6	4	2
	Outstanding (A+)	Good (A)	Acceptable (B)	Needs work (C)	Inadequate (F)

Comments